Exploring the shortest microlensing events

Przemek Mróz Warsaw University Observatory 25.01.2018

Outline

- Update on short-timescale events from 2010-15 from OGLE
- Short-timescale binary events
- Short-timescale events from the 2016 season from OGLE+KMT

Free-floating planets (FFPs)

- 474 well-characterized events from 2006-2007
- excess of short events (t_E < 2 d)
- Jupiter-mass free-floating planets: almost twice as common as main-sequence stars



(Sumi et al. 2011, Nature 473, 349)

Surveys of young clusters

 stars are 20-50 times more frequent than FFPs in young stellar clusters and star-forming regions

(e.g. Scholz et al. 2012, Pena Ramirez et al. 2012)

• inclomplete below < 5-6 M_{Jup}

How to eject a planet?

- dynamical interactions between planets
- ejections from multiple-star systems
- stellar flybys
- dynamical interactions in clusters
- post-main-sequence evolution of the host star(s)

•

Veras et al. 2009, 2011, 2016, Kaib et al. 2013, Sutherland & Fabrycky 2016, Boley et al. 2012, Veras & Moeckel 2012, Liu et al. 2013, and many more...

FFPs from the core accretion theory

- It is much easier to eject an Earth-mass planet than a Jupiter-mass planet
- Event rate due to FFPs ~13x smaller than in Sumi+ (2011)
- Median timescale ~16x smaller (~0.1 day) than in Sumi+ (2011)



Ma et al. 2016, MNRAS 461, 107

OGLE-IV dataset

- 9 fields with a cadence of either
 20 min or 60 min
- 5.5-year-long light curves
- 50 million stars
- 4500-12,000 data points per light curve
- accuracy of the photometry: 3% @ 18 mag, 10% @ 19.5 mag
- ~4800 microlensing events
- 2617 high-quality microlensing events



Selection cuts

- at least 3 consecutive points 3σ above the baseline
- no variability outside a 360-day window
- at least 3 detections on subtracted images
- amplitude > 0.1 mag
- $\chi^2 / dof < 2 \text{ for } |t-t_0| < t_E, |t-t_0| < 2t_E, |t-t_0| < 5 d$
- $u_0 < 1$, $I_s < 22$ mag, $F_b > -0.25$ (some negative blending)

Contaminants: asteroids



(7294) 1992LM



Contaminants: artifacts





Contaminants: variable stars



Simulations

- Detection efficiency
- Image-level simulations (all frames processed with the standard OGLE pipeline: Udalski+ 2015)



real reference image

simulated microlensing event

Can we measure real t_{E} ?



Detection efficiency



Event timescale distribution



Event timescale distribution



Comparison with Sumi+ (2011)

- 2.5σ-3σ difference (small sample?)
- >20 short-duration binary events (could they have been mistaken with single events?)
- systematics in the data? (differential refraction, unphysical treatment of negative blending)



OGLE-BLG511.06.170132



- PSPL: tE = 0.862 d
- binary: tE = 4.3 +/- 1.5 d q = 0.32 +/- 0.20 s = 0.46 +/- 0.10



OGLE-BLG512.13.120104



- PSPL: tE = 1.322 d
- binary: tE = 3.5 +/- 0.3 d q = 0.45 +/- 0.10 s = 1.05 +/- 0.04
- three degenerate solutions



OGLE-BLG506.15.101392

• PSPL: tE = 3.85 d

binary: tE = 3.6 +/- 0.1 d
 q = 0.18 +/- 0.06
 s = 2.01 +/- 0.22

1.2

1.4



18.25

Timescale distribution models

- Milky Way model of Han & Gould (1995, 2003)
- initial mass function:

 $\Phi(M) = \begin{cases} a_1 M^{-\alpha_{\rm bd}} & 0.01 M_{\odot} \le M < 0.08 M_{\odot} \\ a_2 M^{-\alpha_{\rm ms}} & 0.08 M_{\odot} \le M < M_{\rm break} \\ a_3 M^{-2.0} & M \ge M_{\rm break} \end{cases}$



Timescale distribution models



Jupiter-mass planets



1 sigma: [0,0.12] Jupiter-mass planets per star 95%: < 0.25 Jupiter-mass planets per star

Mróz et al. 2017, Nature 548, 173

Jupiter-mass planets

- Constraints from direct imaging surveys:
 - <10-16% M-type stars host a Jupiter-mass planet 1-13 M_{Jup} at 10-100 AU (Bowler+ 2015)



Free-floating Earths?



Mróz et al. 2017, Nature 548, 173

Free-floating Earths?



Timescales $t_{e} \sim 0.1 - 0.4 \text{ d} \rightarrow \text{mass } 1-10 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$ No variability for 20 years of OGLE observations.

Mróz et al. 2017, Nature 548, 173

Free-floating Earths?

Are they more common than stars?

Mróz et al. 2017, Nature 548, 173

Mróz et al., arXiv: 1712.01042

giant source

 $\rho_* = 15.1 + - 0.8$ uas

- Einstein radius: $\theta_{E} = 9.2 + - 0.5$ uas
- no finite source effect if the source were a dwarf

• giant source

 $\rho_* = 15.1 + - 0.8$ uas

- Einstein radius: $\theta_{E} = 9.2 + - 0.5$ uas
- no finite source effect if the source were a dwarf
- no reliable terrestrial parallax (max. magnification 1.6)
- inside K2C9 superstamp

Mróz et al., arXiv: 1712.01042

- L-S proper motion: $\mu_{rel} = 10.5 + /- 0.6 \text{ mas/yr}$
- proper motion of the source:
 - $\mu_{\rm b}$ = -6.4 +/- 0.8 mas/yr $\mu_{\rm b}$ = -0.2 +/- 0.4 mas/yr

(by Jan Skowron)

 source: bulge or far disk?

$$M = \frac{\theta_{\rm E}^2}{\kappa \pi_{\rm rel}} = 35 \, M_{\oplus} \frac{0.1 \, \rm mas}{\pi_{\rm rel}}$$

Summary

- over 2600 high-quality events from 5.5 years of OGLE-IV
- less than 0.25 free-floating Jupiter-mass planets per star
- numerous short-timescale binary events (BD+BD)
- hints of (super)Earth-mass free-floating planets!