

Open COllaboration for POlicy MOdelling



www.ocopomo.org

#### Open collaboration in policy modelling: OCOPOMO

Public lecture organised by Centre of Methods and Policy Application in the Social Sciences (COMPASS) Tuesday 19 March, 6.30pm, Conference Centre Lecture Theatre 22 Symonds Street, The University of Auckland

Prof. Dr. Maria A. Wimmer wimmer@uni-koblenz.de



#### Fast moving world with ever new demands **Copom()** and changes in different policy domains ...





- … master societal transitions and drastic changes in economy, climate and demography currently affecting societies and social behavior around the globe
- … master longer-term transformation well ahead in time
- ✤ ... exploit ICT in a useful way to
  - support open governance
  - Foster disciplinary integration and multi-disciplinary problem solving
  - engage stakeholders and wider constituencies in policy development
  - > master complexity



#### **European Commission funding key research** in ICT for governance and policy modelling



2009: Quoting objectives in call 7, work programme of call 7 in FP 7):

- development of advanced development of new gove
- innovative ICT solutions (i following:
  - Modeling new pol
  - o Performing societ measures.
  - Development of t 0 environment using reflexivity.
  - Modeling and vali 0 systems, particula
- advancing research in sim mixed reality technologies and dynamics methodolog
- Resulting tools should exp knowledge resources and including those at urban o
- Examples of fields of appl public consultations has b administrations and polic

2011: Quoting objectives in call 4, priority 7.3 programme of call 4 in FP 71:

- a) Governance and Participation Toolbox
  - Advanced tools embodying structural,
    - empower and engage all types
    - enable them to utilize mass co allow governments to incorpor
  - Tools enabling the creation, learning, language and cultural interpretation.
  - Tools facilitating transparency and trac
  - Toolbox must include security, identity appropriate, the delineation of constit government applications.
- b) Policy Modeling, Simulation and Visualization
  - Real-time opinion visualization and sin visualization and mixed reality technol
  - Novel instruments which allow consider wishes of individuals, groups or comm understand the possible outcomes of g
- Tools and techniques help to understa public services as complex service syst
- collaborative society, including the new Advanced tools and technologies to pe variables, parameters, interferences, s
- impacts of proposed policy measures Tools should exploit the vast reserves resources which are also developing d translation, process modeling, data m gaming-based simulation, forecasting techniques.
- Solutions to take into account, but not methodology to analyse and model co "cloud" computing applications resou
- Expected Impact
  - Improved empowerment and engager making processes. Increased trust of contributions.
  - More efficient collection of feedback of impacts of policy measures, with in communities, and based on intelligen resources.
  - Strengthaned a

Call 10 in 2013 again with similar objectives:

Objective ICT-2013.5.4 ICT for Governance and Policy Modeling

The public sector has a significant role in stimulating economic growth as has been evident fr the current economic and financial crisis. At the same time, citizens and in particular younger generations are becoming more vocal in monitoring and influencing policy decisio Current ICT tools for collaborative governance and policy modeling show great opportunit for empowerment of citizens and increased transparency in decision-making. In addition, ther a growing need for research and innovation for future public services that will be a catalyst

a) Research will focus on policy modeling and simulation for achieving productivity gains ar innovation in public service provision through innovative use of ICT. Such modeling, simulative and prediction should also enable public administrations to develop policies for growth an investment strategies for next generation ICT for public services. This research will also addres innovative ICT solutions that build on Web2.0/Web3.0 and social networking, crowd-sourcin

The tools shall include innovative data mining functionalities to identify the emerging societa trends as a result of the economic environment, and should further advance crowd-sourcing techniques to engage citizens in sharing knowledge and expertise to collectively solve complex. large-scale problems in a distributed fashion. The work in this area should also exploit the vast reserves of Europe's public sector collective and open data and knowledge resources, for new

b) Coordination and Support Actions.

bl) Road-mapping of research on ICT for innovative public services and governance. Apart from addressing Coordination & Support actions should specifically address road mapping of the use of ICT for innovative public services and their governance, in particular cross border services. Another relevant topic that should be addressed is the empowerment of the younger generations through ICT tools. These roadmaps shall point to implementation under Horizon b2) Increased collaboration, on Electronic Identification and Authentication, in particular

with the USA and Asia, that could be a leverage for European solutions worldwide, while ensuring data protection for the citizens. The action is expected to enhance dialogue with countries that have activities on eID and authentication, and to exchange good practices so as to

- Improved take up of policy making tools by decision makers in public administrations Improved validation of the potential impacts of policies through evidence Stronger evidence of productivity gains and reduction of costs in the provision of public
- Evidence of the younger generation contributing to policy formation/development
- Increased take up of

4



#### OCOPOMO – open collaboration in policy modelling

## A CONTRIBUTION TO OPEN COLLABORATION IN POLICY DEVELOPMENT



Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu



Open COllaboration for POlicy MOdelling



www.ocopomo.org

#### Project co-funded in FP 7 of EC, call 7 in 2009

Duration: 1/1/2010 - 30/04/2013

Budget: 3,2 Mio €, Funding 2,5 Mio €

**Project Partners:** 





Support key stakeholders to participate in the processes of policy formulation

- Policy analysts, policy operators, wider stakeholder groups of specific policy domains
- Integrate methods and tools of scenario-based policy formation with formal policy modelling
- Develop an integrated ICT platform for efficient policy making
  - Mechanisms of open collaboration along the policy process
  - Supporting engagement of wide stakeholder groups







- OCOPOMO policy development process: integrated approach from narrative scenario development to formal policy modelling
- Consistent conceptual description (CCD): Conceptual modelling of policy domain and incorporating traceability in the iterative policy development process
- Open collaboration in policy development through web
   2.0 based e-participation platform and integrated ICT toolbox





#### The solutions in detail

## ITERATIVE POLICY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS WITH STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT



An

integrated

process

Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu

#### OCOPOMO's Integrated Policy Process and Involved Actors





#### **Artefacts along the Process Phases**





# Integrating Scenarios and Formal Policy Models



- Goals, scope and social processes specified by participating stakeholders
- Stakeholder-generated scenarios inform formal policy model design
- Models produce simulations, which result in model-based scenarios
- Participating stakeholders evaluate model-generated scenarios
  - > Surprises involve further investigation of model & scenarios
  - Iterations in developing formal policy models



# Collaborative Scenario Building in OCOPOMO



- Scenarios as textual descriptions of a perceived view or understanding of a topic under discussion
  - > Cover existing world status or mental model of stakeholders
- Alternative scenarios for different aspects and /or alternatives
- Different sets of scenarios from different stakeholder groups
  - > Scenarios may be conflicting among stakeholder groups
- Extending existing scenarios as understanding and viewpoints grow





- Foresight processes, IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) scenarios
  - Usually Top-down: specifying social characteristics and group behaviour
  - Some research projects bottom-up: eGovRTD2020
- OCOPOMO process
  - Bottom-up
  - Issues identified by stakeholders
  - Scenarios generated without constraints by stakeholders
  - Using integrated ICT-based participation platform



	Phases	1: Definition of initial scenario and collection of background information	2: Development of evidence-based, stakeholder- generated scenarios
	Main objectives	Analysis of policy area and Identification of stakeholders	Development of alternative scenarios
	Actors	Persons in charge of the policy area, policy analysts and modellers	Persons in charge of the policy area, affected stakeholders, policy analysts and modellers
Scenario			
develop- ment in action: methodica basis	Process steps	<ol> <li>Identify relevant material (e.g. background information, draft policy statement, existing policy, etc.)</li> <li>Review relevant material and systematically analyse the potential policy area</li> <li>Generate initial scenario</li> <li>Identify relevant stakeholders</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Invite relevant stakeholder groups to review scenario provided and engage in generation of their "view" on the policy case</li> <li>Generate alternative scenario descriptions and add relevant background information to substantiate and evidence alternative scenarios</li> <li>Prioritize views and scenarios by stakeholders</li> </ol>
	Methods used	Desk research, workshops, common virtual workspace, e-participation platform with content management support	Online and offline communication channels for contacting stakeholder groups; common virtual workspace, e-participation platform with content management support (potentially supported with physical workshops)
16	Expected results	Basic understanding of policy case, identification of relevant stakeholders	Alternative scenarios of the policy case reflecting different positions and views of stakeholder groups; Supporting background material to relevant stakeholders; Interaction and discussion among stakeholders on potential policy; Prioritised scenario features:

		Phases	3: Development of conceptual models	4.1: Transforming conceptual to formal mod.
Tra	INS- ming	Main objectives	Analysis of scenarios and background documents; design conceptual mod.	Transformation into semi-formal statements
nai	rative	Actors	Policy analysts and modellers; Interested expert stakeholders	Policy analysts and modellers, interested expert stakeholders
sta hol gei sce inte and for	ke- Ider- nerated enarios o con- otual d mal	Process steps	<ol> <li>Identify, tag and extract relevant phrases in evidence-base (scenarios, background documents)</li> <li>Categorize and group phrases (reformulate, group, categorize in actor description, relation, behaviour, belief, environment, rule, condition, endorsement statement, ect.)</li> <li>Build conceptual models to visualise policy aspects</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Transform conceptual model contents into semi-formal statements therewith mapping         <ul> <li>a) specific characteristics of actors, actions, world facts, etc.</li> <li>b) if-then-else rules</li> <li>c) conditions</li> <li>d) endorsement statements</li> </ul> </li> <li>Export transformation content for import in simulation software</li> </ol>
mo	dels	Methods used	Document analysis tool; Tools for conceptual modelling such as ontology editor	Transformation table to describe facts, actors, rules, etc. Standard XML-exportable descriptions of rules, facts/actor/ect. descriptions
	17	Expected results	Transform narrative evidence-based scenario documents of policy case into consistent conceptual models, therewith ensuring traces from original information source in text to the conceptual models	Transformation table Tool to generate and export pseudocode statements in standard format such as XML

#### **Transformation Steps from Narrative Texts to Formal Models**









- Agents capture descriptions by stakeholders of own and other stakeholders' behaviour and social interaction
- Cross-validation at micro and macro levels
- Descriptive accuracy of agents constitute conditions of application
- Models are not claimed to be predictive though they might be
- Purpose of models
  - For identification of problems and opportunities
  - For argument in dissent
  - For exploring and perhaps achieving consensus
  - For monitoring and managing policy

Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO,



www.ocopomo.eu

### Policy Development in OCOPOMO



#### Approach

- Scenarios generated by stakeholders
  - Using integrated ICT-based participation platform
- Model designs driven by information from scenarios
  - Stakeholder concerns and expectations
- Interactive, parallel development of models and scenarios

- Type of model
  - Evidence-driven
  - > Agent-based

#### Role of modelling

- Precision
- Exploitation
- Exploration





The solutions in detail

## INTEGRATED ICT TOOLBOX TO SUPPORT THE POLICY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS



#### **Tool-support along the OCOPOMO** process





#### **CCD Metamodel (Vocabulary)**





#### **CCD Tool – Annotation of Scenarios**





24

Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu



#### CCD Tool – Visualisation Ontology: Actors and Objects diagram







Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu

#### **CCD Tool – Visualisation of Actions**





26

Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu



#### CCD Tool – Visualisation Ontology: Instances diagram







#### CCD2DRAMS Transformation in Eclispe Environment



PROGRAMME





#### CCD2DRAMS: Model Driven Development (MDD) of Simulation Models



Transformation from the conceptual policy model

(a ccd) into a formal agent based simulation model (a simulation)





## Concept and support tools to establish tracea-









The solutions in detail

## THREE PILOT CASES PRODUCING MODELS



Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu

#### Košice Self-Governing Region (Slovakia)



Strategy for the use of renewable energy sources





Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu

### **Campania Region (Italy)**



Supporting the monitoring of the policy on technology transfer funded by EU structural funds



Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu







Housing policy - ensuring sufficient affordable houses in the City of London (UK)





Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu



# WHY COMBINING SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT AND FORMAL POLICY MODELLING?



Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu

# Why integrating Scenario and Formal Model?



- Stakeholder participation and collaboration enables different views in the development of a policy context
- Scenario development is bottom-up and evidence-based
- Comparison of model-generated scenarios with evidence-based narrative scenarios generated by stakeholders
- Deployment of integrated ICT-based participation platform enabling stakeholders to engage without particular competency in policy modelling concepts



#### Why integrating Scenario and Formal Model?



- Stakeholder-generated scenarios inform formal policy model design
  - Goals, scope and social processes specified by participating stakeholders
  - Stakeholders see natural-language pseudo code
- Formal policy models produce simulations, which result in model-based scenarios
  - Enforces precision in use of language, expectations, goals
  - Key in model design is a set of if-then rules
- Participating stakeholders evaluate model-generated scenarios
  - Surprises involve further investigation of model & scenarios
  - Iterations in developing formal policy models Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19th Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO,

www.ocopomo.eu



#### **Complementarity of Scenario and Policy Models**



- Chaining in OCOPOMO
  - Scenarios built with goal in mind (backward chaining)
  - Models built from behavioural and contextual evidence using forward chaining rules
- Richness and precision
  - Scenarios developed using rich, natural language
  - > Rule-bases in models are precise, formal statements
- Exploration and exploitation
  - Scenario exercises seem naturally to encourage exploration – ideas generation
  - Models facilitate exploitation and understanding of prevailing context



#### **Expected impact**



- Contribution to strategic policies and to implement open government
- Contribution to transform government and administration to an open, effective and efficient participative governance
  - > applying good governance principles
- Providing new opportunities for open discourse among stakeholders of the policy domain and the policy experts
  - in stakeholder-oriented scenario generation
  - $\succ$  in evaluation of formal policy models
- Improving transparency and traceability in strategic decision making by involving different stakeholders in the participative process via the open collaboration platform

Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu







### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**



Public lecture organised by COMPASS, 19<sup>th</sup> Mar 2013, © OCOPOMO, www.ocopomo.eu





Traceability along the transformation steps

- To increase trust and understanding of stakeholders in policy models
- To support policy modellers in the conceptualisation of a policy domain
- CCD an important intermediary between scenarios and simulation models
  - Annotation of text and pdf / html documents (set of scenarios and background documents)
  - Storing the links to annotation
  - Conceptualisation of policy domain in iterative steps



#### **Challenges of such approaches**



- Complexity
- Multidisciplinarity
- Engaging stakeholders
- How much to show/visualise to users?
  - hiding complexity
  - ensuring comprehensibility and understanding
- Will the political level want to engage stakeholders?
  - Dialogue is costly
  - Maybe not in line with the political aims
- Such approaches yet not widespread



# Three levels of scientific and technological innovation (1/2)



#### Socio-political

- Formulation, modelling and evaluation of social and economic strategies for governments, and monitoring over time
- Open participation in parts of the process via Web 2.0 based eparticipation platform

#### Methodical

- Integrated approach of complexity management for ensuring traceability of strategic decisions
- Integration of stakeholder-generated scenario development and formal agent-based social simulation through integrated approach of qualitative data analysis



# Three levels of scientific and technological innovation (2/2)



- Technological: Comprehensive support of policy development process through open collaboration platform
  - E-participation via web 2.0
  - Collaborative scenario generation
  - Conceptual model development
  - Semi-automatic transformation of conceptual model into simulation model (applying MDD)
  - Formal policy modelling and simulation
  - Ensuring transparency and traceability through the integrated approach from scenario-generation to formal policy simulation





#### **Publications**



M. Bicking, M.A. Wimmer. A Scenario-Based Approach towards Open Collaboration for Policy Modelling. In M. Janssen, H.J. Scholl, M.A. Wimmer, Y.H. Tan (Eds.). Electronic Government. 10th IFIP WG 8.5 International Conference, EGOV 2011. LNCS # 6846, Springer Verlag: Heidelberg et al, 2011, pp. 223-234

U. Lotzmann, M.A Wimmer. Provenance and Traceability in Agent-based Policy Simulation. In: Proceedings of 26th European Simulation and Modelling Conference -ESM'2012, 2012

S. Scherer, M.A. Wimmer. Consistent Conceptual Descriptions to Support Formal Policy Model Development: Metamodel and Approach. In: A. Wyner, N. Benn (Eds.). Proceedings of the Workshop on Modelling Policy-making (MPM 2011) in conjunction with JURIX 2011, 2011, pp. 23-28.

M.A. Wimmer, S. Scherer, S. Moss, M. Bicking. Method and Tools to Support Stakeholder Engagement in Policy Development. The OCOPOMO Project. In: International Journal of Electronic Government Research (IJEGR), 8 (3), 2012, pp. 98-119

M.A. Wimmer, K. Furdik, M. Bicking, M. Mach, T. Sabol, P. Butka. Open Collaboration in Policy Development: Concept and Architecture to integrate scenario development and formal policy modelling. In: Y. Charalabidis, S. Koussouris (Eds.). Empowering Open and Collaborative Governance. Technologies and Methods for Online Citizen Engagement in Public Policy Making. Springer Verlag: Berlin / Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 199 - 219





www.ocopomo.org





### Many thanks for your attention!

wimmer@uni-koblenz.de

